

UNITED STATE PARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	CIDOT NUMBER OF THE PARTY OF TH			YSTU-VAL		
	, icito bate	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
09/428,508	10/27/99	CALLINAN .		G	1423-9		
		PM82/0718		EXAMINER			
LAFF WHITESEL CONTE & SARET LTD 401 NORTH MICHIGAN AVENUE CHICAGO IL 60611				LAGMAN.F			
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUI	MBER	
I I	30611			3673	19)	
				DATE MAILED			
					07/18/01		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/428,508

Applicant(s)

Callinan et al

Examiner

Frederick L. Lagman

Art Unit **3673**



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
A SHO	or Reply ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF						
aft - If the	er SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, considered timely	ation. . a reply w	vithin the statu	ıtory minimur	m of thirty (30) days will		
co - Failur - Any r	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply will, by et a received by the Office later than three months after the rned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	statute, d	ause the appli	ication to bed	come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status	•						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/26/01				<u> </u>		
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	ion is no	n-final.				
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance of closed in accordance with the practice under Ex particle.	except fo rte Quay	or formal ma vle, 1935 C.	tters, prose D. 11; 453	ecution as to the merits is O.G. 213.		
Disnosi	tion of Claims						
	Claim(s) 1-47		····	is/ar	e pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/a	re withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 💢	Claim(s) 1-14 and 36-39				is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) 15-17, 21-31, 40-42, and 44-46						
7) 💢	Claim(s) 18-20, 32-35, 43, and 47		<u>.</u>		is/are objected to.		
8) 🗆	Claims						
Applica	tion Papers						
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	objecte	d to by the E	Examiner.			
11)[🔀	The proposed drawing correction filed on4/2				b)□ disapproved.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam						
13)💢	under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p $(A \cap B)$ Some* c) None of:			C. § 119(a	ı)-(d).		
	1. X Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been i	received.				
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority described application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	au (PCT	Rule 17.2(a	1)).	n this National Stage		
^S 14)□	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				9(e).		
		,					
Attachm		401		(DTO 410) D	n N-/a)		
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		_			er No(s)		
	lotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	_	otice of Informal P	atent Applicatio	N (FIU-192)		
17) 🔲 lr	nformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) 📙 O	ther:				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/428,508

Art Unit: 3673

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

2. The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of drawings, filed on 4/26/01 have been approved.

Claim Objections

Claim 24 is objected to because of the following informalities: line 2, the "," between "1" and "or" should be deleted, and the second occurrence of "or" should be deleted. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/428,508

Art Unit: 3673

- 5. Claims 17 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 6. As to claim 17, the use of improper alternative language such as "and/or" renders the claim indefinite.
- 7. Claim 23 recites the limitation "the outer portion" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Furthermore, the dependency appears to be incorrect ie. "in claim 10......in any one of claims 1-14", therefore being redundant on claim 10 itself.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 15, 16, 17, 21, 22, 24, 40-42, and 44-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Foehrkolb #5,378,088. Foehrkolb discloses a retaining wall comprising a reinforcing section 20, 23 extending rearwardly from the wall, the reinforcing sections made from portions cut from one or more tires. The reinforcing section being made by joining together a

Application/Control Number: 09/428,508

Art Unit: 3673

plurality 20, 23 of tire tread sections. The outer portion being formed from a plurality of elements 13 arranged in a plurality of courses.

10. Claims 25 and 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Soviet Union reference #1395-737. Soviet '737 inherently discloses a method for forming a retaining wall comprising the steps of forming a base 3 for the retaining wall adjacent to the embankment 2; and arranging a plurality of tires in a plurality of courses adjacent to the embankment and the along the base. The tires being filled in with fill material such as soil and plants.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claims 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Soviet
 Union reference #1395-737 in view of German reference #38 29 615. Soviet '737 discloses
 method for forming the retaining wall except for the offset being at an angle of 10 to 20 degrees.
 German '615 teaches that it is known to provide a retaining wall comprised of plurality of tires
 that are offset at an angle of 10-20 degrees as shown in figure 3. It would have been obvious to
 one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide an offset at an

Application/Control Number: 09/428,508 Page 5

Art Unit: 3673

angle of 10-20 degrees, as taught by German '615 in order to provide a retaining wall with a desired inclination.

Allowable Subject Matter

13. Claims 1-14, 24, and 36-39 are allowed.

Claims 18, 19, 20, 32-35, 43, and 47 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

14. Claim 23 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Response to Arguments

15. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 15-35 and 40-47 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/428,508

Art Unit: 3673

Conclusion

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frederick L. Lagman whose telephone number is (703) 305-7456.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. David Bagnell, can be reached at (703) 308-2151. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-7687.

DAVID BÄGNELL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

FLL July 6, 2001